# wsp

June 10, 2019

Mr. Brent Paulson TKDA 444 Cedar Street, Suite 1500 St. Paul, MN 55101

RE: Wetland Delineation MnDOT Truck Station, Parcel 340-0010-03220, Eveleth, MN

WSP USA has prepared this letter report to document the results of a wetland delineation completed for the 22.5 acre MnDOT Truck Station, Parcel 340-0010-03220 in Eveleth, MN (**Attachment I**, **Figure 1**). WSP was on-site to conduct the wetland delineation on June 7, 2019. Normal climactic conditions were present for this time of year during the growing season with precipitation slightly below normal in the weeks preceding the delineation.

Wetlands within the delineation area were identified and delineated using the procedures described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetlands Delineation Manual and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region. Specifics of observed vegetation, hydrology, and soil characteristics of the Site wetlands are included on the USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms for Routine Determination provided in Attachment II.

No wetlands were observed on the property. The majority of the delineation area consists of a former gravel pit and remains unvegetated. The dominant plant community surrounding the gravel pit is a mixed coniferous and deciduous forest. Two sampling points (SP1 and SP2) were completed to document the absence of wetlands. SP1 was completed in the lowest point of the former gravel pit and SP2 was completed in the surrounding forest plant community. The soils in both SP1 and SP2 were well drained and no wetland criteria was observed. WSP completed a meander survey of the delineation area that included all the lowest elevations identified on topographic and Lidar maps. No other wetland characteristics were observed. No wetlands were classified on the National Wetland Inventory on this parcel.

WSP USA 302 West Superior St Suite 70 Duluth, MN 55802

# vsp

If you have any questions regarding this report, or would like additional information, please feel free to contact me at 218-336-2284.

Sincerely,

Rob Petr

Robert D. Peterson, PG, PWS Lead Environmental Scientist

# Attachment I

Figure 1 – Wetland Boundaries and Sampling Point Locations



# Attachment II

US Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Determination Data Forms

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: MnDOT	Truck Station	City/Cour	nty: Eveleth	Sampling Date: 06/07/19			
Applicant/Owner:	TKDA		State:	MN Sampling Point: SP1			
Investigator(s): WSP	- Rob Peterson	Section, Township, Range: <u>S</u>	Sec 17, T5N7, R17W				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): toeslope Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave concave Slope							
Subregion (LRR or ML	RA): LRR K	Lat:	Long:	Datum:			
Soil Map Unit Name: GP - Pits, gravel-Udipsamments complex NWI classification: None							
Are climatic / hydrolog	ic conditions on the site typica	al for this time of year?	Yes X No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation X	, Soil X , or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstance	es" present? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation	, Soil, or Hydrology _	naturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any and	swers in Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FI	NDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling po	oint locations, transec	cts, important features, etc.			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present?	Yes Yes	NoX No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.) SP1 was completed at the lowest point within the former gravel pit. Gravel pit area is essentially unvegetated due to use by ATVs.								

#### HYDROLOGY

wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	_	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	_	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	_	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	-	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	-	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	_	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	vailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	vailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks:	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	vailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	vailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	<i>v</i> ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	vailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor Remarks: No wetland hydrology observed.	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if av	/ailable:		

## **VEGETATION** – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: SP1

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1.       2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3.       4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5.           6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )				OBL species $0   x 1 = 0$
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 15 x 4 = 60
4.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 15 (A) 60 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.00$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Achillea millefolium	10	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Potentilla argentea	5	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4			·	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5				
5				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
·				Definitions of Variatation Strate:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Deminions of Vegetation Strata.
o				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11		·		<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	15	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				<b>Woody vines</b> – All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft in
1				height.
2				
3.				Hydrophytic Vogetation
4.				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ Gravel pit area was very sparsely vegetated in the sar	ate sheet.) npling locat	ion.		

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe t	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence	of indicato	rs.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Rema	rks
0-24	10YR 4/3						Sandy		with gra	avel
							<u>,                                     </u>			
	. <u></u>									
		otion PA		4 <u>8</u> -Maa	kod Sono		<sup>2</sup> Logation:		ning M-Ma	
	ndiastora			/13=1VIa5	Keu Sanc	i Grains.	Location.		matia Uvdri	in Soile <sup>3</sup> .
Histosol			Polyvaluo Bolo		co (S9) (I					
	(AT) inodon (A2)			w Sulla	Ce (36) (I	LKK K,		uck (ATU) ( Proirio Rode	LKKK, L, I	NILKA 1490)
			Thin Dark Surf	) 222 (SO					or Doot (62)	$(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$
	SIIC (A3)						<b>49D</b> ) 5 CIII M		Ur Pear (53)	(LRKK, L, K)
				Sanus (a			Polyval			(LKK K, L)
Stratified	Layers (A5)	( ( ) )		Matrix	(F1) ( <b>LRI</b> (F2)	<b>Κ Ν, L</b> )		ark Surrace	(59) (LRR	
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(FZ)			anganese iv		$(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$
	Irk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	X (F3)	-0)			nt Fioodpia	ain Soiis (Fi	(WILRA 149B)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)			Inace (F	-0)			Spoald (TAb		44A, 145, 149B)
Sandy G	edeu (CC)		Depleted Dark	Sunace	e (F7)			irent Materia	ai (F21) • Curfesse /F	22)
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress		8)		Very Sr	nallow Dark	Surrace (F	22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Mari (F10) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)			Other (	Explain in F	kemarks)	
Dark Sur	tace (S7)									
3										
Indicators of	nyaropnytic vegetati	ion and v	vetiand hydrology mu	ust be pi	resent, ur	liess dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive	ayer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ir	iches):						Hydric Soil Prese	ent?	Yes	No
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	rthcentra	I and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NR	CS Field Ir	ndicators of	Hydric Soils,
Version 7.0, 2	2015 Errata. (http://w	ww.nrcs	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: MnDOT Truck Station	City/Co	ounty: Eveleth	Sampling Date: 06/07/19					
Applicant/Owner: TKDA		State: M	N Sampling Point: SP2					
Investigator(s): WSP - Rob Peterson Section, Township, Range: Sec 17, T5N7, R17W								
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope %								
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K	Lat:	Long:	Datum:					
Soil Map Unit Name: B17B - Graycalm-Biwa	bik complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes	NWI classification	on: None					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site	e typical for this time of year?	Yes X No (If n	o, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydro	ologysignificantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances" pi	resent? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydro	ologynaturally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answer	s in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach	site map showing sampling	point locations, transects,	important features, etc.					

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced SP2 was completed in a typical setting	ures here or in a and g of the mixed for	separate report.) est plant commu	nity.

#### HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:	Secondary Indicators (mir	nimum of two required)					
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is requir	ed; check all	that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)		Water-	Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B	10)		
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatio	c Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16	6)		
Saturation (A3)		Marl D	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Ta	able (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	gen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8	)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidize	ed Rhizospheres on Living R	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on	Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)		Preser	nce of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed F	Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent	t Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi	ils (C6)	Geomorphic Position	(D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin M	uck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)	)		
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery (B7	) Other (	(Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Rel	ief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Cone	cave Surface (B	38)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5	5)		
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):					
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present?	Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge, mo	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if	available:			
Remarks:								
No wetland hydrology observ	ed.							

## **VEGETATION** – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: SP2

Tree Stratum (Plot size: )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1. Pinus resinosa	40	Yes	FACU				
2. Populus tremuloides	10	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)			
3. Abies balsamea	10	No	FAC				
4. Acer rubrum	2	No	FAC	Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)			
5.				Bereart of Deminant Creation			
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 20.0% (A/B)			
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	62 =Total Cover			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	)			OBL species 0 x 1 = 0			
1. Abies balsamea	5	Yes	FAC	FACW species <u>5</u> x 2 = <u>10</u>			
2. Prunus virginiana	2	Yes	FACU	FAC species 27 x 3 = 81			
3.				FACU species 82 x 4 = 328			
4.				UPL species 30 x 5 = 150			
5.				Column Totals: 144 (A) 569 (B)			
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.95			
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
	7	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
1. Maianthemum canadense	30	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>			
2. Eurybia macrophylla	20	Yes	UPL	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting			
3. Diervilla lonicera	10	No	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
4. Pteridium aquilinum	5	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)			
5. Aralia nudicaulis	5	No	FACU				
6. Anemone canadensis	5	No	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
8.				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in			
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
10.				Sanling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in DBH			
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
12				Herb – All berbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless			
	75	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	)			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in			
1				height.			
2							
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation			
4				Present? Yes No X			
		=Total Cover					
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sep	arate sheet.)						

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of indi	cators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey			
3-14	10YR 4/4	100					Sandy			
14-24	10YR 4/4	100					Sandy	with gravel		
								0		
		. <u> </u>							······,	
		·								
			. <u></u>							
		·					<u> </u>			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Po	e Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Pro	blematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup>	3:	
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	_RR R,	2 cm Muck (A	10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 1</b>	<b>49B</b> )	
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)			
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (I				eat or Peat (S3) (LRR K	K, L, R)		
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surfa					w Surface (S8) (LRR K	., L)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)	(	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)				Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)			
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)			
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix (F3)				Pleamont Floodplain Solis (F19) (MLRA 149B) Mosic Spedic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)			
Sandy iv	lucky Mineral (ST)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)				Mesic Spoalc (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Red Parent Material (E21)			
Sandy B	adox (S5)		Bedox Depressions (F8)				Very Shallow Dark Surface (E22)			
Sandy R	Matrix (S6)		Marl (E10) (I BB K I)				Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark Su	face (S7)			IX IX, ⊑)						
Bancou										
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegeta	tion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be pi	resent, ur	less dist	urbed or problematic.			
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):	:					·			
Type:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No	Х	
Remarks:										
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS Field	Id Indicators of Hydric S	Soils,	
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://	www.nrcs.u	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	JUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			